Need for cost-based optimization



Integrated hashing operation



Hash team root & member



No overflow files or I/O costs for intermediate result due to team 1998-99 SQL Server 7.0 Query Processor

Index intersection



SQL Server 7.0 Query Processor

Multiple indexes covering a query

🛒 Microsoft SQL Server Query Analyzer - [Que	ery - goetzg0.tpcd.sa - (untitled) - select I_partke] 📃 📃
<mark>⊯R</mark> <u>F</u> ile <u>E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew <u>Q</u> uery <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp	
🗅 😅 🔚 🗙 🛛 🔚 🔚 🔚 🖉 📮 🔚 Database: tpcd 🔹	
<pre>select l_partkey, sum (datepart (dd, l_receiptdate - l_shipdate)) from lineitem where l_partkey between 1100 and 1200 and</pre>	
Stream Aggregate/A Sort ←	Hash Match/Inner OBJECT:([tpcd].[db OBJECT:([tpcd].[db

After joining two indexes of one table, all required columns are present – expensive record fetching is avoided

Nested query becomes semi-join





Multiple optimization techniques are needed to find this plan

- Join clause inferred between line item & part supply
- Group-by list reduced by functional dependencies
- Grouping (on alternative column) pushed down through join
- "Interesting orderings" between scans, joins, grouping



Multiple optimization techniques in a hash-based plan Same as previous example, *plus*

- Integrated hash operation ...
- ... within a hash team
- Disk-order scans

1998-99

Star joins: Cartesian products



Let the large fact table participate in fewer joins – <u>reduce star join cost</u> without any query plan hints!

SQL Server 7.0 Query Processor

Star joins: semi-join reduction



All star join technologies now also in SQL Server!

1998-99